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Director of Elections?
A. I'm an elected capacity to run the organization of 72 permanent full-time employees, and our job and responsibility is to manage 1.4 million registered voters here in King County.

So my job is to provide direction, leadership, oversight over the organization and its mission.
Q. Have you had your deposition taken before?
A. I have.
Q. How many times?
A. I'm not sure the exact number.
Q. Can you give me an estimate?
A. Over the last 23 years at King County Elections, probably maybe around four or five.
Q. Do you remember the case names for any of those matters?
A. I do not.
Q. I'm going to review with you the deposition procedure. And after I conclude my statements, if you have any questions before continuing, please ask them, so that we can be sure that you understand this procedure.

You have been sworn by the court reporter today to tell the truth, and you are bound to do so under penalty of perjury.

As a result, your testimony today, although in the somewhat informal settings of this conference room, has all the dignity, force, and effect as a court proceeding.

Do you understand that?
A. I do.
(Tamborine Borrelli enters.)
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) Everything we say will be taken down verbatim by the court reporter. It is difficult for the court reporter to record our statements if we are both speaking at the same time. So it is important that only one of us speak at a time.

Please allow me to conclude my question before you attempt to answer it, and $I$ will afford you the same courtesy.

In addition, all responses need to be audible for the court reporter. So please do not shake your head or nod your head to signify yes or no.

If you do not understand a question, please say so. Otherwise, I will assume that you understood the question. Is that clear?
A. Yes.
Q. The purpose of the deposition is to elicit factual information from you, based upon your recollection and knowledge. Please do not speculate,
guess, or give me an answer just to give me an answer or an answer that you think I might want to hear.

However, I am entitled to your best approximation or estimate in response to my questions.

Do you understand this?
A. I do.
Q. To move the deposition along, we will consider that all objections except privilege objections are reserved until the time of trial.

The deposition transcript will be prepared by the court reporter, and you will have an opportunity to review that deposition transcript and to make whatever changes you may feel are appropriate and then sign the transcript under penalty of perjury.

However, you should know that, in the event you do make changes, we will have the opportunity to comment on those changes to the trier of fact, whether it be judge or jury. So it's very important that you give us your best testimony today.

Do you understand that?
A. I do.
Q. Have you taken any medications or drugs in the last 24 hours that would impair your ability to testify today?
A. No.
Q. Are you feeling okay?
A. I am.
Q. Are you sick?
A. No.
Q. Is there any reason why you cannot give us your best testimony today?
A. No.
Q. If you get tired or want to take a break, let
me know, and we will take one.
Do you have any questions before we continue?
A. I do not.
Q. Are you here today in response to the Notice of

Deposition issued by Washington Election Integrity
Coalition United, acronym pronounced WEICU?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you review any documents that refreshed your recollection for the purpose of your deposition today?
A. I did.
Q. What did you review?
A. The -- I'm forgetting the actual name of it, but what was submitted by WEICU and then our responses.
Q. Are you referring to the Complaint?
A. I am. Thank you.
Q. Okay. And by responses, are you referring to
your Answer to the Complaint?
A. Yes.
Q. You believe so?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. Okay. Anything other than the Complaint and the Answers that you reviewed to prepare for today?
A. No.
Q. Could you describe your educational background?
A. High school degree, an AA degree, and some college.
Q. Do you have a college degree?
A. I have just the AA degree.
Q. The AA degree. Thank you.

I'm going to start with some general questions and -- which should be -- you should be able to answer in your capacity as the director of elections and as a defendant and counter-claimant in an action seeking election-related public records.

What does election integrity mean to you?
A. As far as the organization?
Q. What --
A. Or just the word -- the words?
Q. The term "election integrity," yes.
A. Sure. Integrity of the election, so solid, accurate, secure elections, and -- yes. I think that's
good.
Q. What does election transparency mean to you?
A. We provide access and -- through many different forms for our voters to be able to have transparency over their elections. And that can take many shapes and forms, but we see it through observer opportunities, through the cameras in our facility, through a fifth of a mile Plexiglass loop for voters to be able to come in and watch the election process are some of the ways in which we display our transparency of the elections process.
Q. What does a certified voting system mean to you?
A. One that's been reviewed and approved by whatever authority.
Q. What do you mean by "whatever authority"?
A. It depends, because there is federal and there are state requirements and certification. So it would depend on what level of certification we are talking about.
Q. So you are saying that federal and state certification is required?
A. No. Through Washington State, our tabulation system just needs to be certified by the Secretary of State's office after it has been tested by an
accredited, $I$ think we call it, VSTL, which is a voting system lab at the federal level that is approved by the EAC.
Q. What does ballot security mean to you?
A. Keeping good chain of custody of ballots, always two people with ballots, seals if required, good storage of the ballots between cages or badge access, security access to the ballots.
Q. When you say storage between cages, what are you referring to?
A. How we store our ballots in between -- within a cage.
Q. And how would the cage be secured?
A. It's floor to ceiling cement floor to the roof of the building, a chain-link fence that requires a badge and a biometric, meaning a fingerprint, access to only those that have permission to the cage.

Also there's a security seal when the ballots are not being accessed during the nighttime with a unique numbered identifier on it.
Q. What does chain of custody as it relates to ballots mean to you?
A. It means that we've got, again, two staff members as required by Washington State law with the ballots at all time.
Q. And what does a reporting server as it relates

```
to elections mean to you?
```

A. Could you provide more clarity? A reporting server?
Q. Yes. A server that you use in elections for elections purposes.
A. For our results reporting?
Q. Resulting reporting.
A. Results reporting is what I believe you are --
Q. Okay. Thank you.
A. -- speaking off.
Q. Yeah, what does the results reporting server mean to you?
A. We call it the tabulation server. And that is getting data from the tabulation scanners.
Q. What do you mean by "tabulation scanners"?
A. It's what you scan the ballots into.
Q. Those are standalone scanners?
A. They are.
Q. Are King County election systems air gapped?
A. The tabulation system is.
Q. So what does air gap mean to you?
A. Not connected to the internet.
Q. So according to a King County election website page on frequently asked questions, it says, "Our
tabulation system is on a closed, air-gapped network, not connected to the internet and is not capable of wireless communication." So could you elaborate on what that means?
A. It means that the scanners that you feed the ballots through, those are not connected to the internet in any shape or form. They are connected directly to that tabulation server to be able to get the results from the ballots.
Q. Does this mean that the tabulation system is air gapped, but other components of the election system are not air gapped?
A. For example, the voter registration system is connected to the internet.
Q. Okay. So maybe a better question is, what components of the King County election systems are capable of connection to the internet?
A. I'm sorry. Can you say that again?
Q. What components of the King County Elections systems are capable of connection to the internet?
A. The computers that staff members are using for work purposes and, again, the voter registration system.
Q. Anything else --
A. As well as the printers, fax machines, phones.
Q. Anything else that is capable of connecting to
the internet?
A. Not that $I$ can think of.
Q. But the tabulation system is entirely separated from the internet?
A. That's correct.
Q. At all times?
A. That's correct.
Q. According to the same King County Elections website page on frequently asked questions, "A paper trail provides the ability to check and verify votes cast for every race or ballot measure." What does "paper trail" mean in the context of that statement?
A. Ballots.
Q. So ballots are considered part of the paper trail?
A. Correct.
Q. Does King County allow the public the ability to check and verify votes for every race and ballot measure by reviewing the cast ballots?
A. Not according to state law.
Q. What do you mean by that?
A. State law doesn't allow us to provide ballot images.
Q. What about the ballot themselves, the paper
trail?
A. That's what I mean when $I$ say ballot images, the ballots themselves.
Q. And according to the same King County Elections website page on frequently asked questions, a tabulation server counts the votes.

Can you explain how the tabulation server counts our votes?
A. In Washington State, we're able to scan in the ballots before an election. And at that point, you really just have a photocopy, an image of the ballot itself.

And until we push the button, if you will, in the tabulation server or the server at 8 p.m. or a little after on election night does it actually accumulate or provide any sort of results for races or contest or ballot measures.
Q. And what do you mean by push the button at 8 o'clock on election night?
A. The staff actually do -- two staff members actually do push buttons, if you will, on that tabulation computer and system to be able to accumulate those results. I'm not firsthand privy to that. I've never run those results before.
Q. So I'm sorry. I'm not understanding. Are
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there buttons? Is there an interface, a user interface, with the server that you are talking about, like, a laptop?
A. It's a computer. It's a desktop computer.
Q. A desktop computer. Okay.

So there's a desktop computer connected to the server?
A. Yes.
Q. And, sorry, I know I'm leading you, but if you could describe it, just stop me. But it sounds like someone uses the desktop interface to click buttons?
A. Yes.
Q. Using a program?
A. Yes.
Q. And that program tabulates the votes?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what program is used to tabulate the votes?
A. The tabulation system that we use in King County is called Clear Ballot.
Q. That's the ven- --
A. That's the vendor.
Q. Correct.

Do you know the name of the program that counts the votes for King County elec- -- voters?
A. I believe it's called ClearCount.
Q. ClearCount.

And do you know where that program resides within the system?
A. I'm not sure $I$ follow the question.
Q. Is it on the laptop that is used by staff? Is
it downloaded to the server? Do you know where the program actually exists?
A. I do not.

MR. HACKETT: I'm going to object. She didn't testify anything about a laptop used by staff.

MS. SHOGREN: Thank you for that correction.
I'm sorry.
MR. HACKETT: And, Ms. Shogren, at this
point the Intervenor Defendants are just going to lodge a general objection that we will join any objection that King County Elections makes in this matter, just for -in an effort to limit any disruptions of the deposition today.

MS. SHOGREN: Thank you, Counsel. I
appreciate that.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) Sorry, Ms. Wise. The program called ClearCount resides on a computer used by staff. Is that your understanding?
A. That's my understanding.
Q. Thank you.

And how does that program, if you know,
tabulate the votes?
A. I don't know.
Q. But you do know, it's your understanding anyway, that after they push the buttons on election night, results are provided to the staff members; is that correct?
A. They are.
Q. And in what format are they provided? Do you know?
A. The staff print both a hard copy of the results, as well as a USB is utilized to then take that data off of that desktop computer. And it's taken to a computer outside of the tabulation server room that is connected to the internet for us to be able to upload results to the Secretary of State's website and to King County Elections website.
Q. I'm going to ask you a series of questions next about who you may have communicated with about the PRA claim, Public Records Act claim, and why the records are being withheld as an issue, a potential issue.

Who is your primary contact at the Secretary of State's office?
A. I have several primary contacts at the

Secretary of State's office. Secretary Steve Hobbs, Kevin McMahan, and Stuart Holmes mostly.
Q. What role is Kevin McMahan?
A. I'm forgetting his exact title. He serves as a deputy of sort to the secretary.
Q. And who is your primary WaTech chief
information security officer contact?
A. I don't directly have a contact.
Q. Who does communicate with the CISO?
A. My IT director.
Q. And who is that?
A. Margaret Brownell.
Q. Was Margaret the IT director for the 2020
general election?
A. Yes, she was.
Q. Could you please spell her last name?
A. $B-r-o-w-n--w-n-e-l-l$.
Q. And did it use to be Justin Burns?
A. Justin Burns has never worked for King County Elections.
Q. Got it.

Who is your primary contact at Clear Ballot?
A. I don't directly usually contact Clear Ballot. Bob Hoyt, I believe, is the -- my primary contact, or Jordan Esteban [sic].
Q. Este...?
A. Esteban.
Q. And who is your primary contact at the state legislature?
A. I don't have one sole point of contact at the state legislature.
Q. Who, in general, are your contacts at the state legislature?
A. The many representatives and senators for King County voters, generally speaking.
Q. And who is your primary contact at the Washington State Democrat Central Committee?
A. I don't have one.
Q. Do you have any communication with that organization?
A. Not to my recollection.
Q. Who is your primary contact at the Election Assistance Commission?
A. Thomas Hicks is who I have connected with before.
Q. Okay. Who is your primary contact at the Department of Homeland and Security?
A. I don't have that name. I don't know the name of the people that $I$ have connected with in that organization off the top of my head.
Q. How could you refresh your recollection as to who they are?
A. If I looked at an email.
Q. So you've had email communications with the Department of Homeland and Security?
A. I don't know, to be honest. We've had them tour our facility a number of times, so $I$ would be contacted by individuals for tours. And then my staff directly coordinate with them for any audits or reviews that we have asked of them.
Q. And what are the purpose of the tours?
A. To be able to see a state-of-the-art elections facility and to see how it's laid out, to see the security that we have. They've brought individuals who want to see our amazing facility at King County Elections.
Q. But you can't remember any names at this point?
A. I don't recall the names at this point.
Q. Okay. Who is your primary contact at the Cyber and Infrastructure Security Agency?
A. I don't have a direct one.
Q. Okay. Who communicates with CISA on behalf of King County Elections?
A. Primarily Margaret Brownell, the King County Elections IT director.
Q. But you do not?
A. You said CISA?
Q. Correct.
A. I don't recall having direct contact. I
believe I've been on a panel or -- with Secretary -- or previous Secretary Kim Wyman, but I don't -- it's not an organization $I$ reach out to.
Q. Who is your primary contact at the Federal

Bureau of Investigation?
A. I do not have one.
Q. Does anyone at King County have a contact -King County Elections have a contact with the FBI?
A. I believe that we have had contact with the FBI. I think generally, though, that is routed through the Secretary of State's office and not direct contact by myself or my staff.
Q. And do you have any primary contacts at the Central Intelligence Agency?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Does that mean no?
A. It means that me directly, I don't recall ever having a contact there.
Q. Anyone at King County Elections have contacts with the CIA?
A. Not that -- not that I'm aware of.
Q. And have you ever worked for any federal agency, either as an employee, trainee, agent, contract worker?
A. No.
Q. Who is your primary contact at the Washington State Association of County Auditors?
A. My primary contact at the WSACA organization, it depends on who is the president. So probably most recent Darla McKay has been the president of WSACA, as well as Derek Anderson who provides administrative support to that organization.
Q. Is each auditor in Washington State a member of, I believe you pronounced it, WSACA?
A. Yes.
Q. And the answer is yes to that question?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. As of 2023, do you hold a leadership position in WSACA?
A. For 2023, I will -- I have been serving as the cochair of the VoteWA Executive Steering Committee. And I was just appointed to serve as cochair of the elections committee for this coming -- coming cycle.
Q. Does WSACA hold meetings that are closed to the public?
A. I don't know that -- I believe they are closed
to the public, but I don't know. I don't run those meetings. Darla and Derek do, respectively. But I do join and sit in on those meetings when I can.
Q. Do you know why they hold closed meetings to the public?

MR. HACKETT: Objection; misstates the testimony. She has not indicated that she's aware whether they do or not.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) Do you believe that WSACA holds meetings that are closed to the public?
A. I don't know if it's -- I don't believe I've seen anyone join from the public on those Zoom calls.
Q. What is typically discussed during the WSACA meetings?
A. Typically discussed during the WSACA meetings is report outs from each of the committees.
Q. Anything else?
A. Any other sort of general conversation of what's happening in the auditor world during that time.
Q. Could you elaborate a little bit on that?
A. Of course.

For example, right now we're in the middle of candidate filing. So if there was a candidate filing question or best practice, it could be shared during a relevant meeting during that time frame.
Q. Does WSACA entertain discussions or presentations about planned legislation?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you give me an example?
A. The Washington State Association of County Auditors has several committees, and one of the committees is the Legislative Committee. And proposals by auditors for and asked to be a legislative priority for WSACA comes before those auditors. Those are presented by other auditors.
Q. Does WSACA entertain discussions or presentations about public records requests?
A. They do as a part, I believe, of the Elections Committee.
Q. Can you recall any specific discussions at WSACA about public records requests?
A. I don't typically attend the PDR meeting or the Elections Committee meeting. My deputy Janice Case serves on that committee.
Q. And did you say earlier you are going to be chairing that committee?
A. I will be, yes.
Q. You will be.
A. We just last week made that appointment. So we will start in our new positions in the coming weeks.
Q. Have you discussed this lawsuit with any King County Elections staff?
A. I believe just telling them that I would be out of the office for this deposition. I don't recall having any conversations about this lawsuit.
Q. Only about this deposition?
A. Just about being out of the office for the deposition.
Q. So you've never had any conversations with anyone at King County Elections about this lawsuit?
A. We have -- I'm sorry. We've sat in meetings with our attorneys and my staff members, my chief of staff, my deputy, and myself, along with our attorneys, discussing this lawsuit, yes.
Q. But nothing outside of a privileged setting?
A. No.
Q. What is your relationship, if any, with Tina Podlodowski?
A. I know of her. I don't have a relationship with her.
Q. So you've never spoken with her about this lawsuit?
A. No.
Q. Have you spoken with her about this deposition?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware that, in your capacity as Director of Elections, you filed counterclaims against the citizen plaintiffs, two of which are here, in the federal court removed action for this case?

MR. HACKETT: I'm going to object. That's irrelevant to WEICU.

MS. SHOGREN: You will understand the relevancy at the next question I ask.

MR. HACKETT: Okay.
A. Can you please restate the question?
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) Sure.

Are you -- well, I'm just going to restate it verbatim first.

Are you aware that, in your capacity as Director of Elections, you filed counterclaims against the citizen plaintiffs in the federal court removed action for this case?
A. Yes.
Q. So you are aware that, in your capacity as Director of Elections, you sued constituents over a public records request that they did not initiate?

MR. HACKETT: I'm going to object because the pro se plaintiffs did not raise a public records request, nor counterclaims against them involving the public records request.

So that misstates the Answer pretty blatantly.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) You can answer the question.
A. Can you repeat the question?
Q. Sure.

Are you aware that, in your capacity as
Director of Elections, you sued constituents over a public records request that they did not initiate?

MR. HACKETT: And if you are not aware, that's fine.
A. I'm not aware.

MS. SHOGREN: Counsel, please don't lead the witness in her responses.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) Are you aware that, in your capacity as Director of Elections, you filed counterclaims against WEICU both in the federal court removed action and in the state court action?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever sued any other public records requestors?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Are you aware that, by letter dated

October 25th, 2022, your attorneys threatened sanctions against the citizen plaintiffs in excess of $\$ 40,000$ unless they immediately dropped the case against you?
A. I do not.

counterclaim, does the tabulation of a ballot occur at a
time that falls after a voter's preparation and deposit
of their ballot?
A. I'm sorry. Can you say that again?
Q. Yeah.
Does the tabulation of a ballot occur at a time
that falls after a voter's preparation and deposit of
the ballot?
A. Yes.
Q. In reference to Paragraph 6 of your
counterclaim, does the tabulation of a ballot result in
a public affirmation of the voter's choices of any
measures or candidates listed on said ballot?
MR. HACKETT: I'm going to object; vague.
Are you talking as to voters collectively or an
individual voter disclosing their votes?
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) Did you understand the
question?
A. I did not.
Q. Okay. Does the tab --
A. Are you saying it's in relation to Paragraph 6?
Is that what you want me to look at?
Q. No. Sorry.
A. Okay.
Q. It's in relation to your counterclaim.
A. Okay.
Q. The question is, does the tabulation of $a$ ballot result, any ballot, does the tabulation of any ballot result in a public affirmation of the voter's choices of any measures or candidates on that ballot?
A. I don't agree with the word "public."
Q. And why not?
A. Because each individual has a right to a secure, private vote.
Q. I understand your position.

Then how are votes tabulated if no one looks at them?
A. It's not identified or connected to the voter.
Q. Correct. The ballots are anonymous.
A. Correct.
Q. That's correct.

Then how is tabulation achieved for the purposes of arriving at a conclusion for an election if someone does not look at the ballot?
A. Ballots are fed through the scanners. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And, Counsel, I
apologize. I'm having some technical difficulties. I will need to go off the record for just a couple minutes to put in a new disc. MS. SHOGREN: All right. Let's go -- so
stipulating off the record?
MR. HACKETT: Yes.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And this marks the end of
File 1 in the deposition of Julie Wise. The time is 9:10, and we are off the record.
(Recess was taken from 9:10 a.m. to 9:13 a.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And we are back on the record. Here marks the beginning of File 2 in the deposition of Julie Wise. The time is 9:13 a.m.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) Back to where we were, Ms. Wise.

I believe you used the word "scanners." So is it your understanding that the scanners do the public affirmation tabulation of the ballots?
A. I don't know what public affirmation of a ballot means.
Q. Okay. So in your mind, the scanners do the tabulation; is that correct?
A. No. They take a copy of the actual ballots.
Q. And then the copy gets tabulated?
A. At the tabulation server, to my knowledge.
Q. And how does the tabulation server see the particular votes and tabulate them?
A. I don't know.
Q. I'm going read to you Article VI, Section 6, of the Washington State Constitution. "All elections shall be by ballot. The legislature shall provide for such method of voting as will secure to every elector absolute secrecy in preparing and depositing his ballot."

So in reference to Paragraph 6 of your counterclaim, does Article VI, Section 6, of the Washington Constitution exempt cast ballots from public inspection?

MR. HACKETT: I'm going to object; calls for a legal conclusion, outside the scope of the witness, lack of foundation.
A. I don't know.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) In reference to Paragraph 10 of your counterclaim, does Article VI, Section 6, of the constitution require absolute secrecy for cast ballots?

MR. HACKETT: I'm going to object. Same objection.
A. I don't know.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) In reference to Paragraph 9 of your counterclaim, is there any statute that prohibits the disclosure of ballots, ballot images, spoiled ballots, or returned as undeliverable ballots?

MR. HACKETT: Same objection.
A. I don't know.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) When you say you don't know, are you saying that there may be, that you are not aware of, or that you are saying affirmatively that there is not such a statute?

MR. HACKETT: Asked and answered.
A. I don't know.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) In reference to Paragraph 14 of your counterclaim, could you please explain how cast ballots constitute information relating to election security that should be exempt from disclosure?
A. Could you ask the question again, please?
Q. Can you please explain how cast ballots constitute information relating to elections security that should be exempt from disclosure?
A. I don't know.
Q. In reference to Paragraph 14 of your
counterclaim, in your opinion, would public inspection of tabulated ballots be in the public interest to ensure free and equal elections in Washington State?
A. Can you ask -- sorry. Can you ask the question again?
Q. Sure.

In your opinion, would public inspection of
tabulated ballots be in the public interest to ensure
free and equal elections in Washington State?
A. I believe we do that through a series of audits
that is publicly observable.
Q. As part of those -- as part of a typical election audit, how many paper ballots are reviewed?
A. There are several audits that occur during an election time frame. There's a logic and accuracy test that is an audit of the tabulation system.

There's a batch audit that we call, and that is a hand, manual recount of a percentage of the ballots cast in that election.

There is also a risk limiting audit that, again, is a formula, a sampling of the ballots that is reviewed with staff members, both of the political parties, as well as observers appointed by the League of Women Voters in a nonpartisan capacity.
Q. So if you can recall back to the 2020 general election, do you recall what type of audit you did for that election?
A. We always do a logic and accuracy test audit, and we always do a batch audit. I don't recall if we did a risk limiting audit.
Q. So for the batch audit for the 2020 general election, do you recall approximately how --
A. Sorry. Excuse me.
Q. No problem.

Do you recall approximately how many ballots
you reviewed?
A. I do not.
Q. Can you give me an estimate?
A. Thousands.
Q. Tens of thousands?
A. I don't know.
Q. So your best approximation is thousands?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you remember approximately how many ballots were cast in that election?
A. For the 2020 November general election, I believe it was approximately around 1.2 million, or 87 percent.
Q. So approximately what percent of ballots did you review through the batch audit for the 2020 general election?
A. I'm sorry. I can't recall what the percentage is that's identified in law.
Q. In reference to Paragraph 14 of your counterclaim, in your opinion, would public inspection of tabulated ballots substantially and irreparably damage any person?

MR. HACKETT: And what paragraph of the
counterclaim are you referring to?
MS. SHOGREN: 14.
MR. HACKETT: Where the RCW is quoted, I guess? I'm going to object. That's a quote from an RCW.
A. I'm sorry. Can you ask the question again?
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) Sure.

In your opinion, would public inspection of tabulated ballots substantially and irreparably damage any person?
A. It could.
Q. How so?
A. It depends on how that review would be done.

It would be a lot to -- a lot of what-ifs, if you will.
Q. I'm sorry. Could you elaborate on the
what-ifs?
A. It depends on how an -- how those ballots would be handled or reviewed. They are maintained for 22 months in a secure manner.
Q. So I'm sorry. Are you saying that the review would jeopardize the integrity of the ballots themselves?
A. It could.
Q. Depending on who is reviewing them?
A. I think more the process of how they are
reviewed.
Q. Meaning what?
A. Again, they are public records, and they are maintained and organized in such a way. So, again, I think it would depend on what that would look like. For example, are the ballots leaving the facility?
Q. So your concern would be that the ballots would be changed or destroyed or something like that?
A. Yes.
Q. Are there any other reasons why you think that public inspection of tabulated ballots would substantially and irreparably damage a person?
A. I don't know.
Q. So you can't think of any other reasons at this time?
A. Can you ask me the question again?
Q. Are there any other reasons that you believe public inspection of tabulated ballots would substantially and irreparably damage any person?
A. Because my understanding in law is that ballot images are not disclosable and that people have a right to a private and independent ballot, and that the votes are safeguarded at the elections headquarters by election staff that are trained and certified to be able to manage ballots and the process.

MR. HACKETT: Counsel, I would like a break
to talk to my client for a second.
MS. SHOGREN: I'm not stipulating to a break right now, not with questioning.

MR. HACKETT: Okay. Then I will state my objection.

Your notice of deposition does not state that it's a video deposition. So unless you want to talk to your client, we'll end the video right now.

MS. SHOGREN: Counsel, the notice does say that it's by videograph [sic].

MR. HACKETT: Pull it out. I read it yesterday.

MS. SHOGREN: The deposition -- I'm sorry. I'm reading the notice of deposition now.

It says, "The deposition will be video recorded and will be taken before a certified shorthand reporter or a notary public."

MR. HACKETT: I'm going to pull up --
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) So, Ms. Wise, back to --

MR. HACKETT: Hold. I'm going to pull up
the copy that you sent me. That is not what $I$ read.
So what $I$ have is a Notice of Deposition signed by you pursuant to $C R 30$, which says Notice of Deposition of Julie Wise.
MR. HACKETT: If you would like --
MS. SHOGREN: And you are now making this
objection in light of your client's difficulty answering
a question.
MR. HACKETT: No, I'm not.
MS. SHOGREN: It is highly suspect that you
are --
MR. HACKETT: No, I am not.
MS. SHOGREN: -- requesting a break at this
time, and I object to your objection. And you are,
basically, grasping at straws right now.
MR. HACKETT: I am not.

MS. SHOGREN: So I'm going to continue the deposition, and I think we should just continue it so that we can get through it.

MR. HACKETT: I am going to talk to my client, and you can wait for five minutes.

MS. SHOGREN: Over my objection, we will give Mr. Hackett five minutes to coach his client.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And this marks the end of File 2 of the deposition of Julie Wise. The time is 9:26, and we're off the record.
(Recess was taken from 9:26 a.m. to 9:29 a.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And we are back on the record. Here marks the beginning of File 3 in the deposition of Julie Wise. The time is 9:29 a.m.

MR. HACKETT: All right. We went off the record to discuss the Notice of Deposition of Julie Wise.

Contrary to standard practice and the rules, it is not labeled a video notice of deposition. I was intending to raise that initially at the start of this deposition and, frankly, forgot.

So I've conferred with my client, and we are willing to continue to go forward on a video basis.

So shoot away, Counsel.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) In reference to Paragraph 14 of your counterclaim, would public inspection of tabulated ballots substantially and irreparably damage vital governmental functions?
A. It's not allowed by state law, so I wouldn't be able to.
Q. What do you mean by "not allowed by state law"?
A. Ballot images are not disclosable. And when I say "ballot images," I mean the ballot themselves, an image of the ballot.
Q. You understand that, in your counterclaim, you've taken the position that public inspection of tabulated ballots would substantially and irreparably damage vital governmental functions.

So my question is, how is -- how is that
possible?
A. Because it's not allowed by Washington State law. That would be me breaking the law. There's thousands and hundreds of laws that I have to adhere to, and it's against state law.
Q. Is there any other basis upon which that would cause substantial or irreparable damage to vital governmental functions?
A. I don't know.
Q. In reference to Paragraph 14 of your
counterclaim, is public inspection of cast ballots required in order to tabulate the votes?
A. Can you please restate that?
Q. Yeah.

Is public inspection of cast ballots required in order to tabulate, count, the votes?
A. Staff do a preliminary scan of a ballot visually with their eyes to make sure that the ballot can go through the tabulation scanners.
Q. Okay. Is there any other public inspection of ballots required in order to tabulate the votes?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. In reference to Paragraph 21 of your counterclaim, will you suffer a concrete and imminent injury from disclosure of the original ballots from the November 3rd, 2020, general election?
A. I swore to an oath when $I$ took the Director of Elections position, and Washington State law does not allow for me to disclose ballots.
Q. In reference to Paragraph 21 of your counterclaim, will you suffer a concrete and imminent injury from disclosure of the ballot images from the November 3rd, 2020, general election?
A. I'm sorry. Can you restate the question? I was just reading 21 that you referenced.
Q. Will you suffer a concrete and imminent injury from disclosure of the ballot images from the November 3rd, 2020, general election?
A. I would be breaking my oath as an elected official. They are not disclosable by Washington State law.
Q. Will you suffer a concrete and imminent injury from disclosure of the spoiled ballots from the November 3rd, 2020, general election?
A. Again, I took an oath, and I do not believe that those are publicly disclosable per Washington State law.
Q. And will you suffer a concrete and imminent injury from disclosure of the returned as undeliverable ballots from the November 3rd, 2020, general election?
A. I don't recall the status of an undeliverable ballot as regards to public records.
Q. Well, your --
A. So I don't know.
Q. Your office has denied inspection of those now since September of 2021.

MR. HACKETT: Objection. Not true. They were never asked for.
A. I don't recall there being a request for undeliverable ballots.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) Do you recall a request for returned ballots from WEICU?
A. Meaning the ballots that were returned by voters that we counted? Yes.
Q. How about ballots that were returned as undeliverable?
A. I don't recall that request.
Q. If WEICU made such a request, would it be denied?
A. I would refer to my attorneys.
Q. So it's your attorneys who make the decisions on the public records requests?
A. They help us navigate what is publicly disclosable and what is not. And undeliverables, I am not clear on what type of record that is considered regarding PRA.
Q. I believe you already answered this, but in your opinion, are cast ballots, once separated from the envelope, anonymous public records?
A. I believe, according to Washington State law, they are not public record. I'm sorry. The wording of your question confused me a little bit.
Q. I'm sorry.

In your opinion, are cast ballots, once separated from the envelope, anonymous public records?
MR. HACKETT: I'm going to object as to lack
of foundation for opinion; calls for a legal conclusion.
A. It depends.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) On what?
A. There are instances when there are few ballots
returned by precinct that based off of the data that you
can get of who returned a ballot and looking at the
precinct, that you would be able to determine how an
individual voter voted.
to put that precinct with another precinct for results
reporting purposes so as not to undermine a voter's
private, secure, independent vote.
Q. What's the smallest precinct in King County?
A. I do not know.
Qing County? Precincts are typically l, 500 registered voters
A. I do not.
voters in the smallest precinct in King County?
fewer. law?
A. In King County, the requirement is 900 or
Q. Are there any other instances in which you would consider a cast ballot, once separated from the envelope, not an anonymous public record?
A. Sorry. The wording is confusing me a bit.
Q. I will ask the court reporter to read -- to read the question again.

THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: Are there any other instances in which you would consider a cast ballot, once separated from the envelope, not an anonymous public record?"
A. There are times that voters write or sign their names on their ballots. There are times and instances where one would be able to, based off of a public inspection, identify the voter to that ballot.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) Are there any other instances in which you would consider a cast ballot, once separated from the envelope, not an anonymous public record?
A. Washington State law says that it is a -not -- a nondisclosable record?
(Reporter clarification.)
A. That it is a disclose- -- that it's not disclosable.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) Any other instances?
A. Not that I can think of off the top of my head.
Q. Does King County receive cast ballots through email?
A. Ballots can be returned, according to law, by email, yes.
Q. Does King County receive cast ballots by facsimile?
A. According to Washington State law and federal law, yes.
Q. How is receipt of cast ballot through email and facsimile not a violation of the constitutional requirement for absolute secrecy?
A. It's required by Washington and federal law to provide military and overseas voters access to return their ballot electronically. It's a requirement.
Q. Even though you can put the voter directly in -- in association with the voter's cast ballot?
A. The same way with paper ballots, yes.
Q. Does King County Elections train election workers or volunteers to leave zip ties on ballot containers intentionally loose?
A. Repeat the question.
Q. Does King County Elections train election workers or volunteers to leave zip ties on ballot containers intentionally loose?
A. Intentionally not too tight so that the seal
busts.
Q. Sorry. Could you explain that?
A. Sure.

If you put a zip seal too tight and transmit -and transport, the zip tie actually, the seal, will break if it's done too tight. So there has to be a certain amount of looseness, yes.
Q. All right. So maybe a better question is, how do you train election workers to leave zip ties on the ballot containers?
A. I don't personally train election workers.
Q. How does King County Elections train election workers to leave zip ties on ballot containers?
A. I haven't attended a training of the zip tie on the container, so $I$ don't know.
Q. But you do know that they are trained not to leave them too tight. How do you know that?
A. We had a previous conversation with an election integrity group in King County, and they provided that feedback of a perception concern to us. And so we discussed it in our office.

I believe I responded directly to the concern via email.
Q. So prior to the concern being raised, you weren't aware that the zip ties were being left loose
intentionally? Is that your testimony today?
A. I wasn't privy. I don't -- say the question
again? Was I?
MS. SHOGREN: Will have her to read it.

THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: So prior to
the concern being raised, you weren't aware that the zip
ties were being left loose intentionally? Is that your
testimony today?"
A. Yes.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) Does -- I'm sorry.

During the 2020 general election, were zip ties
on King County ballot containers left loose?
A. I don't recall when we made the change with the zip ties.
Q. What's your best estimation as to when that change was made?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you have an estimation as to when?
A. I don't.
Q. So during any election overseen by you as Director of Elections, were zip ties on King County ballot containers left loose?
A. Zip ties are not required by state law, and I -- the question is, was I aware that they were left loose?
Q. Yes. Were you aware?
A. I was once the concern was raised.
Q. And prior to the concern, you were not aware?
A. Correct.
Q. Who does the training for King County

Elections?
A. It depends on what process you speak of.
Q. Who does the training for people who handle ballot containers for King County Elections?
A. Even that is going to be many people through the organization. So if we're talking about -- I need...
Q. I will rephrase it. Sorry.
A. Yeah.
Q. Who is in charge of training volunteers for election workers for King County Elections?
A. Many people are in charge of providing training to our paid union temporary workers.
Q. And who oversees those people?
A. I've got seven managers, several supervisors, and leads. Leads, supervisors, and managers all conduct training in the organization.
Q. Do you know who was doing the training for the 2020 general election?
A. Again, many people.
Q. Can you give me one name?
A. Can you provide more detail of what body of work -- or what type of training you are talking about?
Q. The training of election volunteers and workers for purposes of the 2020 general election.
A. We've got a ballot drop box. We've got phone bank. We've got opening, signature verification, sorting, scanning, ballot review, ballot drop box closing.

There's a lot of different tasks, and each of those have a lead and supervisors responsible for providing those trainings.
Q. So who was the lead for chain of custody training?
A. There's chain of custody between the United States Postal Service, the drop boxes, as well as within the facility and ballot processing. It's not one single individual.
Q. Can you give me some names of those individuals?
A. That provide training at King County Elections?
Q. Yes. Related to ballot security.
A. We have Steve Barone, Cole Jackson, Jerelyn Hampton, Janice Case, Linda Smith. There's a lot. Those are a few.
Q. Who had access to the tabulated voting data for the 2020 general election prior to Election Day?
A. I would clarify the question as being access to the tabulation server room?
Q. That's fine.

Who had access to the tabulation server room?
A. I -- we could get that information. I believe that it is approximately five different individuals that have access to that room. And you would like me to list the names?
Q. If you know off the top of your head, sure.
A. I know Jonathan Keith, Mark Hinds, Michelle Weber. Those, I know for sure.
Q. Who had access to the tabulated voting data for the 2020 general election on Election Day?
A. Those same individuals.
Q. Meaning...?
A. I believe it's about five individuals, Jonathan Keith, Mark Hinds, Michelle Weber.
Q. Anyone else that you can think of?
A. I don't know for certainty, so $I$ wouldn't want to say. That would be a guess.
Q. Do you recall who pushed the button for the 2020 general election?
A. I do not.
Q. But it was one of those five people that you are vaguely recalling?
A. I believe so.
Q. Do any third parties have access, directly or indirectly, to King County Elections tabulation data at any time prior to Election Day?
A. No.
Q. Do any third parties have access, directly or indirectly, to King County Elections tabulation data at any time on Election Day?
A. No.
Q. Do any third parties have access, directly or indirectly, to King County Elections tabulation data at any time after Election Day prior to certification?
A. No.
Q. Does the Department of Homeland Security ever have access, either directly or indirectly, to King County Elections tabulation data?
A. No.
Q. Does the Cyber and Infrastructure Security Agency ever have access, directly or indirectly, to King County Elections tabulation data?
A. No.
Q. Do any third parties outside of King County Elections ever have access, either directly or
indirectly, to King County Elections tabulation data?
A. No.
Q. During your tenure as Director of Elections, has King County ever had any federal agents working in any capacity in King County Elections?
A. Working in King County Elections?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. So there are no federal agents involved in any manner with King County Elections?
A. Other than the audits, no.
Q. And could you explain that -- what that means, other than audits?
A. So we have asked the department -- I asked the Department of Homeland Security in 2017 to come in and do a physical security audit of our facility. That would be the only capacity, is an audit with my team members.
Q. And what prompted you to request the federal audit in 2017?
A. Elections had been declared a critical infrastructure by the federal government, and I wanted to have the department come and review our facility and give us any feedback about the physical security of our actual facility in Renton.
Q. Was the audit limited to physical security or did you talk about cybersecurity as well?
A. With Homeland Security, it was just the physical, actual structure, the building itself, not cybersecurity related.
Q. And did they give you any good feedback or did you feel like you got good feedback?
A. They only had the ability to compare us to nuclear sites because they had never toured an elections facility before because, again, it had just been declared a critical infrastructure. So we were the first tour. They didn't have anything to compare us to.

But our security, as they said, was as good, if not better, than nuclear sites that they had visited.
Q. Did you certify the tabulation results for the 2020 general election based on the vote totals for the ballots as cast?
A. Along with the canvassing board members, yes.
Q. My understanding under state law is that the auditor is initially -- sorry. You are equivalent to the auditor --
A. Sure.
Q. -- is initially sworn in to certify the tabulation results.

Did that happen for the 2020 general election?
A. I am -- yes. Yes.
Q. So you were sworn in, and you did swear that the results were accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. And then those results are transferred to the canvassing board for review; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you certify the accuracy of the 2020 general election results?
A. I did.
Q. In your role as Director of Elections for King County, do you have a responsibility to investigate claims of election irregularities?
A. Irregularities? I'm not sure what you mean by that.
Q. Problems?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you receive any notice of election irregularities or problems from third parties with regard to the 2020 general election?
A. Yes. I heard concerns from individuals around the 2020 election.
Q. What did you hear?
A. We heard concerns about the voter rolls. I'm sorry. This is three years ago, so I'm having a little
bit of trouble.
But there was a spreadsheet that was submitted by an election integrity group in King County with concerns around, again, the voter registration, voter rolls, zip tie seals on the plastic ballot bins from drop boxes to the elections facility.

It was a pretty lengthy Excel spreadsheet of concerns, and I don't recall the rest of the items that were listed on it.
Q. Okay. Do you recall the organization that provided you with that spreadsheet?
A. I believe -- I don't know if it was considered part of the King County Republican organization or if it was an election integrity committee standalone.
Q. Do you remember any names associated with the group that submitted the spreadsheet?
A. I do. Amber -- and, sorry, I'm not sure of the pronunciation of her last name -- Krabach. Krabach.
Q. Anybody else that you can remember?
A. I can -- yes. But $I$ don't remember their first -- I think Mike -- I don't remember their names, but yes.
Q. And were there any other election irregularities or process concerns that were raised by anyone else that you can remember, sitting here today?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. What did you do to investigate the allegations or the concerns that were brought to your attention?
A. I remember, again, the specifics around the zip
ties. Any voter rolls or data, we look into those
voters and research if they are, you know, eligible
registered voters.
Q. Anything else?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Okay. Do you recall receiving any complaints or concerns about vote flipping?
A. I don't recall the terminology "vote flipping."
Q. Do you recall any concerns or problems raised regarding changes in the vote recording -- vote totals being reported? Excuse me.
A. I do not recall that.
Q. Sitting here today, you are not aware of any complaints regarding vote flipping, vote additions, vote deletions, anything along those lines?
A. That was officially submitted to King County Elections?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. Okay. How about unofficially submitted to King County Elections?
A. I don't.
Q. Did King County Elections experience any
unusual problems with the 2020 general election?
A. No.
Q. Was the failed ID check down at any time during the 2020 general election?
A. I'm sorry. I don't know what that means.

Failed ID checked?
Q. Do you understand that King County Elections systems have a way of determining whether a ballot from a voter that's already been tabulated and then a second ballot that comes in from the same voter, that the system will catch that --
A. Yes.
Q. -- or is intended to catch that?
A. Yes.
Q. What would you call that system?
A. That's the Washington State -- managed by the Secretary of State's system called VoteWA.
Q. And what is that system called?
A. A Voter Registration Election Management System.
Q. So at King County Elections, when a ballot comes in, and it's scanned to verify the voter, if a second ballot comes in, and it's scanned, and it shows,
"Oh, that voter already voted," what is that system called?
A. Oh, that would be our ballot sorters. But the data it's communicating with is VoteWA, the Voter

Registration Election Management System for Washington State.
Q. Thank you.

So did you experience any problems with the ballot sorters for the 2020 general election?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Did King County Elections experience any problems on election night, November 3rd, 2020, into the early hours of November 4th, 2020?
A. Problems?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. So everything was very smooth?
A. Long hours, a long night, but smooth, yes.
Q. And there were no interruptions to the work
that you were doing?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. And you were there, I'm assuming?
A. I was.
Q. Who made the original decision to withhold original ballots, ballot images, spoiled ballots, and
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returned as undeliverable ballots from the 2020 general election in response to WEICU's records request?

MR. HACKETT: I'm going to object. You did not read what your request said, which did not include returned ballots from the post office, undeliverable ballots.
A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question? THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: Who made the original decision to withhold original ballots, ballot images, spoiled ballots, and returned as undeliverable ballots from the 2020 general election in response to WEICU's records request?"
A. We followed Washington State law and didn't disclose those.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) I understand that.
A. Oh.
Q. Who made that decision?
A. Ultimately myself.
Q. So you recall making that decision on behalf of King County Elections?
A. In consultation with our attorneys.
Q. Whose idea was Senate Bill 5459?
A. I don't know that off the top of my head.

Could you refresh me what 5459 is?
Q. It's the bill that you publicly testified in
support of regarding exempting certain election-related records.
A. Thank you. I testify on lots of bills. Whose -- I'm sorry. What was the original question to that?
Q. Whose idea was Senate Bill 5459?
A. I believe several, if not all, auditors.
Q. And what do you base that belief on?
A. Conversations.
Q. Between and among the auditors?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was the context of those conversations, if you recall?
A. Again, we have an elections committee, and part of that is the public disclosure requests where election administrators are discussing what public disclosure requests that they have and the next steps to satisfying those.
Q. And when you use the pronoun "we," are you referring to WSACA?
A. I'm referring to election administrators. It's not just auditors. Sometimes it's their staff members and my staff members.
Q. Communicating via email, or can you explain how those communications happen?
A. I believe some is via email, as well as that committee meeting.
Q. And, sorry, what entity is the committee a part of?
A. So you have Washington State Association of County Auditors. They have got a recording committee, a licensing committee, an elections committee, a legislative committee.

The elections committee has workgroups, a workgroup to formulate what the annual conference agenda is going to be; for example, a workgroup to discuss public disclosure requests.
Q. Other than your public testimony on February 3rd, 2023, which we will discuss shortly, have you had any communications with the bill's sponsors or their staff about SB 5459?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Prior to passage of the bill, did you have any communications with anyone at the Secretary of state about SB 5459?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. On February 3rd, 2023, while this action was pending and your counterclaims against WEICU were pending, did you publicly present to a Washington State senate committee in support of Senate Bill 5459?
A. I believe so.
Q. Did you also publicly support preventing public access to the source code used to tabulate votes for a period of 25 years?
A. I'm sorry. Can you restate that?

THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: Did you also publicly support preventing public access to the source code used to tabulate votes for a period of 25 years?"
A. I don't recall. The 25 years is throwing me, but $I$ do believe that the first part of that is accurate.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) So you did support preventing public access to the source code used to tabulate votes?
A. I believe so.
Q. You are just not sure about how long that prohibition would last?
A. Yes.
Q. Was your presentation made in your capacity as the King County Director of Elections?
A. It was.
Q. And did you present in favor of $S B 5459$ on behalf of the Washington State Association of County Auditors?
A. I would have to look at my records. Sometimes

I'm there just on behalf of myself as Director of Elections for King County. Whereas, other times for those, I will testify both for the association and myself. And I don't recall in this instance what capacity $I$ was testifying on that day.
Q. In your presentation back in February, do you recall stating that $S B 5459$ is necessary due to the intentional spreading of lies about elections?
A. I don't recall the wording of my testimony.
Q. In your presentation from February, do you recall stating that your office is drowning in public records requests asking for sensitive information?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you agree with those statements?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. And on what basis?
A. From our experience.
Q. And what do you mean by your "experience"?
A. Can you say more?
Q. Sure.

What, in your experience, would lead you to
think that $S B 5459$ was necessary to stop the intentional spreading of lies about elections?
A. I don't know.
Q. Nothing?
A. I don't.
Q. What, in your experience, would lead you to believe that your office was drowning in public records requests asking for sensitive information?
A. I believe we've received over 150 public disclosure requests and in an annual year where we would normally see about seven.
Q. And those 150 public disclosure requests were making you drown?
A. I do believe so.
Q. How so?
A. It completely overwhelms election offices across King County and across Washington state too. Oftentimes, these are private, secure information that has to be redacted, and they take a lot of staff time and pull us away from the important work that we have to do. 150 feels like drowning compared to seven.
Q. Okay. Are there other counties going through the same issues?
A. There are.
Q. Okay. Which counties?
A. Across the whole entire country. And I don't have the names of those counties off the top of my head.
Q. Sorry. I think you said across the country.

Did you mean across the state or --
A. State and country.
Q. State and country.

So can you name any other counties that are experiencing this same type of drowning problem with public records requests?
A. I don't have those counties' names specific.
Q. So you are not able to name one?
A. Whatcom County, Benton County.
Q. Any others?
A. Snohomish County. I feel like I could literally name all 39, and they would say the same.
Q. So you've been in touch with those other counties about their records requests that they've been receiving?
A. Again, in the meetings, the workgroup discussions that I've been a part of, $I$ haven't attended all of those, but yes.
Q. So in the WSACA committee meetings, these are discussed?
A. Workgroup chats, yes.
Q. How are those workgroup chats done? Are they done on, like, a Webex call? Are they done over email?
A. I believe they are predominantly through Zoom. The majority of the time, my staff is going, not myself.
Q. And are those Zoom meetings recorded?
A. I don't know.
Q. Who would know?
A. I believe WSACA administrative staff or our president.
Q. During your February testimony -- or
statements, I should say, in support of $S B$ 5459, you also represented that the public records requests appear to be -- to be strategic and coordinated.

Do you agree with that statement today?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what did you mean by that?
A. I believe that not all of the public disclosure requests, but some, were intended to overwhelm election offices.
Q. Did you have evidence to support that position or is that just your impression?
A. It's my impression.
Q. Okay. So there's nothing specific that you have to support that statement?
A. Besides the sheer number of PDRs, no.
Q. Okay.
A. And the data that, again, had to be redacted from those, no.
Q. Okay. During your statements in February, you concluded that ballots and source code must not be
disclosed for security purposes.
What did you mean by that?
A. I mean that we need to keep -- according to our
IT experts, that we need to keep that information
confidential to keep our elections systems secure and
safe.
Q. What have you been told by your IT experts with
regard to the security concerns relative to looking at
cast ballots?
A. I'm sorry. Can you say that again?
THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: What have
you been told by your IT experts with regard to the
security concerns relative to looking at cast ballots?"
A. I don't feel like that's what $I$ just answered
to, the earlier question. I feel like it's been
tweaked.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) I'm sorry if you feel that
way.
A. Yeah.
Q. It'S a separate and independent question.
A. Okay.
Q. Would you like it heard again?
A. Sure.
THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: What have
you been told by your IT experts with regard to the
security concerns relative to looking at cast ballots?"
A. I don't believe I've spoken to IT -- my IT experts about that.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) So your IT experts, to the best of your recollection, have not raised security concerns relative to inspection of cast ballots?
A. It wouldn't be in their lane to, no.
Q. Okay. Are there any experts in your world of King County Elections that have brought specific security concerns to your attention with regard to an inspection of cast ballots?
A. I don't know. Not that I recall.
Q. Did you inform the senate committee on February 3rd, 2023, that you were a current defendant in a case involving a public records request for ballots and ballot images?
A. Again, $I$ don't recall my wording of that testimony.
Q. Did you inform the senate committee on February 3rd, 2023, that passage of SB 5459 would be used by you to attempt to prevent examination of ballot-related public records in a pending lawsuit?
A. I don't recall the wording of my testimony.
Q. Did you inform the senate committee on

February 3rd, 2023, that, under state law, cast ballots
are anonymous public records that cannot be tied back to a voter?
A. I don't recall the wording of my testimony.
Q. On February 3rd, 2023, did you explain to the senate committee how disclosure of cast ballots following an election could be cause for cybersecurity or other security concerns?
A. I don't recall the wording of my testimony on February 3rd.
Q. Do you deny saying that?
A. I don't recall the wording of my testimony.
Q. As a public records requestor which has been forced to file suit to obtain records and which has been countersued on its request, WEICU is entitled to ask you questions about why the records have not been released. Those questions go to the issue of penalties under the Public Records Act.

So I have a few questions along those lines, and I will remind you that you are still under oath.

Did King County Elections use a certified voting system for the 2020 general election?
A. Yes.
Q. Did King County Elections participate in any manner, actively or passively, in either electronic or physical vote flipping, vote additions, or vote
deletions for any races in the 2020 general election?
A. No.
Q. Did you personally participate in any manner, actively or passively, in either electronic or physical vote flipping, vote additions, or vote deletions for any race or measure in the 2020 general election?
A. No.
Q. Does King County Elections maintain a record of elector party preference?
A. Yes. For a period of time.
Q. Could you elaborate on that?
A. It is in Washington State law during a presidential -- I think we usually have called it a presidential preference primary or known as a presidential primary, where our laws require for the voters to declare their party preference.

We are required to put it into the Washington State VoteWA, Voter Registration Election Management System. And party preference is required to maintain in that system for a period of time and then to be purged.

I don't recall the specific amount of days. I believe it's 60 days, but I'm not sure. And I believe the Secretary of State does the clearing of that data.
Q. Thank you.

So as I understand it, King County Elections
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provides the party preference information to VoteWA at the state level; is that correct?
A. Yes. We enter it into the VoteWA system based off of the return envelope, what they've checked and the oath they've signed.
Q. So King County tracks party preference as the ballots are coming in; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then shares that data with the State; is that correct?
A. That's the only place where it's placed, is the VoteWA system.
Q. And the State is required to delete that information after a certain time; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's your understanding that they do that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you check with them to make sure they've done that?
A. I didn't -- I don't recall that $I$ did that after the 2020 election, no.
Q. Do you ever check with the State to make sure that the data has been deleted, the party preference data $I$ mean?
A. Me personally? No.
Q. Does anyone in your office check with the Secretary of State to make sure that that data is timely deleted?
A. I don't know.
Q. So no?
A. I don't know.
Q. And how long does King County retain the data of party preference?
A. Again, it's in the VoteWA system that's managed at the Secretary of State's office.
Q. I understand that.

The data originates from King County, and you provide it to the State; is that correct?
A. It's put directly into the VoteWA system.
Q. So how long does King County retain the party preference data?
A. It's in the VoteWA system. It's not kept elsewhere.
Q. So the data is tracked by King County, transmitted to the Secretary of State, but it's your testimony today that that data never touches $k i n g$ County Elections cyber systems?
A. The team -- it goes directly from the sorter into VoteWA.
Q. With no copies retained, no -- no cyber record
at all at King County Elections?
A. Not to my recollection or to my knowledge.
Q. So sitting here today, you are not aware of King County ever deleting any party preference data from King County Elections systems?
A. We don't have a King County Elections system. It's the statewide system. That's the only place where voter information, ballot information, is held.
Q. So there's no voter registration data held by King County Elections?
A. No. It is a real-time statewide system called VoteWA that every 39 county enters all of our information, including the signature that is on that return envelope, a voter's party preference, everything, the date they returned their ballot. All of that information is just within VoteWA. We don't have a standalone King County system.
Q. Other than your tabulation server?
A. Correct.
Q. And the computers associated with that server?
A. We were talking about voter registration and election management system. So I'm confused. Are we talking about the tabulation system? It's a completely separate system.
Q. Okay. Thank you for clarifying that.
A. Yeah.
Q. Prior to passage of Senate Bill 5459, did the Public Records Act exempt ballots, ballot images, spoiled ballots, or returned ballots?
A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question, please?

MS. SHOGREN: Can you read it? Thank you.
THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: Prior to passage of Senate Bill 5459, did the Public Records Act exempt ballots, ballot images, spoiled ballots, or returned ballots?"

MR. HACKETT: I'm going to object; lack of foundation, calls for a legal conclusion.
A. I forgot the question. I believe that $I$ was not allowed to disclose those ballot images.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) If that was the case, then why did you support a bill that would do that?
A. Provide clarity.
Q. Oh. What kind of clarity?
A. About what's legally -- a legal public records request, publicly disclosable. That's the word I'm looking for.
Q. So it was your understanding before SB 5459 that you weren't allowed to provide those documents; is that what you are saying?
A. Correct.
Q. And that you participated in supporting -publicly supporting Senate Bill 5459 merely to provide clarity?
A. Yes.
Q. It had nothing to do with this lawsuit?
A. No.
Q. Does King County currently have in its possession, custody, or control all of the records requested by WEICU in its Public Records Act claim?
A. I believe so.
Q. Okay. What do you believe that -- what is your belief based on?
A. Emails from my public records officer.
Q. And who is that?
A. Jackie -- Jacqueline Adams.
Q. And in those emails, what has Jacqueline Adams told you?
A. I believe it was to our attorneys, confirming that we still have all of the records.

MR. HACKETT: Okay. So I'm going to object.
Obviously that gets into privilege.
But I do believe that that is true, that we've maintained the records.

MS. SHOGREN: Thank you for clarifying that,

Counsel. I appreciate it.
Q. (By Ms. Shogren) So no records, electronic or physical, from the 2020 general election in King County have been destroyed, to your knowledge?
A. Not to my knowledge.

MS. SHOGREN: Okay. That concludes WEICU's questions.

Are there any other parties who would like to ask questions at this time?

MR. BASSETT: I have some.
THE WITNESS: Is there any way we could take a quick break?

MR. HACKETT: That's a good idea.
MR. BASLER: Yeah, I was wondering if we could take a quick break.

MS. SHOGREN: Let's take a ten-minute break.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And this marks the end of
File 3 in the deposition of Julie Wise. The time is
10:18, and we are off the record.
(Recess was taken from 10:18 a.m. to
10:31 a.m.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And we are back on the
record. Here marks the beginning of File 4 in the
deposition of Julie Wise. The time is 10:35 a.m.
EXAMINATION

BY MR. BASLER:
Q. So my name is Doug Basler. I'm one of the pro se plaintiffs. I just have a few questions.

What voting system was used by King County Elections to tabulate the ballots for the 2020 general election?
A. The vendor is called Clear Ballot.
Q. What federal voting system test laboratory purportedly certified the system used by King County for the 2020 general election?
A. I forget the name that Clear Ballot used as the testing laboratory.
Q. But it was an approved voting system test laboratory?
A. That Clear Ballot used to be certified, yes.
Q. So how do you know that?
A. The documentation that's available on the Election Assistance Commission website.
Q. So was the electronic voting system used by King County Elections in the 2020 general election ever updated post-installation of the certified system?
A. I'm sorry. I don't understand the question.
Q. So after certification, was there any updates?
A. I don't know.
Q. In 2020, were there any changes or updates to
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King County's voting system done prior to certification of the 2020 general election on November 24th, 2020?
A. Is the question between implementation in 2017 to 2020 , if there was updates made to -- if there was updates made to the system?
Q. Following certification of the system and before certification of the election, were any updates done to the voting system?
A. I don't know.
Q. But it is possible?
A. I don't know.
Q. Were there any operating system patches done to the system remotely over the internet?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Is it true that King County Elections took part in periodic Webex or other calls or online meetings with election officials from counties across the state and the Secretary of State's office during the election of 2020?
A. Yes.
Q. How often did these calls occur?
A. There's a period of time when they are monthly, every other week, weekly, and then they go to daily. It depends on where in the election cycle we are.
Q. Okay. So what would -- what would the -- how
often would they be during the time from ballots being sent and certification? Would that be daily?
A. I believe they would be daily at that point.
Q. Okay. Do you recall asking a question during a daily general election staff Webex call or other online meeting on November 18th, 2020, about what talking points you should use if asked about dead voters? THE COURT REPORTER: Dead?

MR. BASLER: Dead voters.
A. I do not recall that.
Q. (By Mr. Basler) Do you recall a discussion during a daily general election staff Webex call on November $18 t h$, 2020, regarding the urgent need for a Microsoft security patch to be installed on the electronic voting systems statewide?
A. I do not recall.
Q. Did a chief information security officer, CISO, represent- -- representative on the November 18th, 2020, Webex call or other online meeting indicate that in the past the updates were done virtually with the download lasting a few minutes?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did one of the attendees on the November 18th, 2020, Webex call or other online meeting ask whether the installation should wait until after election
certification?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Were you assured by the CISO representative on the November 18th, 2020, Webex call or other online meeting that there was no functionality or code changes to the application as part of these particular updates?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did another attendee on the November 18th, 2020, Webex call or other online meeting say that he had received the same assurances before, that no
functionality or code changes would be made to the systems, but that had not been the case?
A. I truly do not recall this conversation or this meeting or Webex.
Q. Did an attendee on the November 18th, 2020, Webex call or other online meeting ask what was the risk of waiting to do the updates until Wednesday, November 25th, the day after certification of the 2020 general election?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did the CISO representative, on the

November 18th, 2020, Webex call or other online meeting say there would be a risk to waiting until Wednesday because, "Just to be frank, some of the things that are in this patch are known to be actively exploited in the
wild"?
A. I do not recall that.
Q. During the November 18th, 2020, Webex call, did someone named Janice from King County then ask whether the installation could be done on Sunday, November 22nd, so as to not interfere with the work being done on Saturday, November 21st, 2020?
A. I do not recall.
Q. During the November 18th, 2020, Webex call, did the CISO representative refer to the updates as part of their, quote, standard monthly Microsoft security patches?
A. I believe you are talking about Justin Burns. I don't remember the November 18 th call, but that would be about VoteWA, not tabulation systems. It would be about a Voter Registration Election Management System. Justin Burns with CISO from Washington State would not be talking about tabulation systems. That would be highly unusual.

But I don't remember this November 18th call.
Q. During that November 18th, 2020, Webex call, did Janice from King County ask whether the updates would also impact the reporting server?
A. I do not recall.
Q. During the November 18th, 2020, Webex call, did
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the CISO representative respond by saying, the updates would be installed on all the systems in VoteWA?
A. I do not recall.
Q. During the November 18th, 2020, Webex call, did an attendee then point out that all the systems had been checked and checked again, but sure enough, on election night, something happened so that the CISO needed to please be aware of that?
A. I do not recall.
Q. Towards the end of the Webex call on November 18th, 2020, did King County indicate it was okay with the installation happening during the election and prior to certification as long as it happened on Sunday, November 22nd, 2020?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did you in fact, in your capacity as Director of Elections, allow King County Elections system to be modified over the internet on Sunday, November 22 nd , 2020?
A. It's not the tabulation system. We're talking -- what $I$ believe you are talking about, again, is the election management voter registration system managed by the Secretary of State's office. All 39 counties enter data that feeds live time into that system. That's connected to the internet.

That is completely separate and isolated from the tabulation systems used in the counties.
Q. Were you aware of a massive security breach so bad that the National Guard, on or around the last week of September 2020 , was called in to remove Washington State elections from the server they were currently using to a separate server because of the massive security breach?
A. I recall the Secretary of State's office disseminating information about a security concern after the 2020 election. I believe it was the 2020 election, again, around the VoteWA -- Voter Registration Election Management System. I don't recall the details of that.
Q. In your position as King County Elections director, was it your understanding that, in 2021, ballots and ballot images requested by WEICU were exempt under the Public Records Act?
A. I'm sorry. Can you ask me the question again?
Q. In your position as King County Elections director, was it your understanding that, in 2021, ballots and ballot images requested by WEICU were exempt under the Public Records Act?
A. I believe they were not disclosable.
Q. Did you testify in 2023 in the state legislature hearings in support of $S B 5459$ exempting
ballots and ballot images from public disclosure requests?
A. I believe that's accurate.
Q. Why did you testify in favor of $S B$ 5459, that exempted ballots and ballot images from public disclosure requests if they were already exempt?
A. To provide clarity.

MR. BASLER: Okay. That concludes my
questions.
THE WITNESS: Thanks.
MR. HACKETT: Thank you.
MR. SAMOYLENKO: I'm just going to have you read the questions.

MS. SHOGREN: Hand it to me first.
These are your questions right here?
MR. SAMOYLENKO: Yes.
MR. HACKETT: I'm confused.
MS. SHOGREN: I have been handed, served, questions from pro se Plaintiff Tim Samoylenko. And pursuant to CR 30 (c), I am now going to hand them to the deposition officer so that she can read the questions and record the answers verbatim.

MR. HACKETT: I'm going to have to look up that rule because I don't think I've ever ran across that.

witness to answer those questions.
Mr. Hyatt, do you -- this is a new one on me after $30-$ some years of practice. So I don't know if you have ever run into this?

MR. HYATT: The intervenors will object to
this line of questioning. But if Mr. Hackett is comfortable with the witness answering whatever questions are being asked, then we can sort the objections and how that objection -- what the practical effects of that objection are later. THE COURT REPORTER: Can we go off the record?

MS. SHOGREN: Yes, we can go off the record.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The marks the end of

File 4 in the deposition of Julie Wise. The time is 10:47, and we're off the record.
(Recess was taken from 10:46 a.m. to 10:51 a.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And we are back on the record. Here marks the beginning of File 5 in the deposition of Julie Wise. The time is 10:52 a.m.

THE COURT REPORTER: The reporter has -MR. HYATT: Before we begin with questions, the intervenors just want to lodge an objection to clarify the objection that we made earlier to include

```
the fact that the rules strictly requires that any
questions be served in a sealed envelope. And I don't
believe that's the process that happened in this
deposition today.
    But, again, intervenors are happy to discuss
    with Counsel the practical implications of that
    objection and deal with it at a later time, if
    Mr. Hackett is comfortable with the witness proceeding
    to answer those questions.
                            MR. HACKETT: Yes. We're comfortable with
proceeding. The questions need to be read verbatim, and
the question is what the question is.
    MS. SHOGREN: And for the record, the
questions were handed to me in an envelope.
            (Pursuant to Civil Rule 30(c), the court
            reporter was requested to propound the
            following written questions and record the
            following answers.)
                (Exhibit No. 3 marked.)
                    EXAMINATION
BY THE COURT REPORTER:
    Q. Exhibit 3 has been marked, which is the
declaration of Terpsehore Maras.
                            I'm going to read aloud Paragraph 23. "The
proprietary voting system software is done so and
```

created with cost efficiency in mind and therefore relies on third-party software that is available and housed on the hardware. This is a vulnerability. Exporting system reporting using software like Crystal Reports or PDF software allows for vulnerabilities with their constant updates."

Do you agree with Ms. Maras, that constant updates to electronic voting systems poses a vulnerability to those systems?
A. No.
Q. Paragraph 24. "As per the COTS hardware components that are fixed, and origin may be cloaked under proprietary information a major vulnerability exists since once again third-party support software is dynamic and requires frequent updates. The hardware components of the computer components, and election machine are COTS -- that are COTS may have slight updates that can be overlooked as they may be like those designed that support the other third-party software. COTS origin is important and the US Intelligence Community report in 2018 verifies that."

Do you know whether the system used by King County for the 2020 general election comprised commercial off the shelf "COTS" hardware components?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know the country of origin for the production of any commercial off-the-shelf hardware components used by King County to tabulate the 2020 general election?
A. I don't.
Q. Shelf software used by King County to tabulate the 2020 general election?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. I will now read Paragraph 37. "The purpose of VSTLs being accredited and their importance in ensuring that there is no foreign interference/bad actors accessing the tally data via backdoors in equipment software. The core software used by all scytl related election machine/software manufacturers ensures anonymity."

Do you agree with Ms. Maras, that the Voting System Test Laboratories need to be accredited when verifying systems because the VSTLs need to ensure that there is no ability to access the tabulator data via backdoors in the system hardware?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. Paragraph 38. "Algorithms within the area of this shuffling to maintain anonymity allows for setting values to achieve a desired goal under the guise of encryption in the trap-door."

Do you agree with Ms. Maras, that the cheap COTS (commercial-off-the-shelf) software allows anonymous access to shuffle values for a desired election outcome under the guise of encryption?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. Paragraph 54. "Scytl and Dominion have an agreement - only the two would know the parameters. This means that access is able to occur through backdoors in hardware if the parameters of the commitments are known in order to alter the range of the algorithm deployed to satisfy the outcome sought in the case of algorithm failure."

Do you agree with Ms. Maras, that backdoors in the tabulation system hardware can be used to change software algorithm parameters?
A. I don't understand the question. I don't understand the vendors, Scytl, Dominion. That -- it's not used in King County. I'm not tracking the question.
Q. Paragraph 55. "Trapdoor is a cryptotech term that describes a state of a program that knows the commitment parameters and therefore is able to change the value of the commitment however it likes. In other words, Scytl or anyone that knows the commitment parameters can take all the votes and give them to any
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one they want. If they have a total of 1000 votes an algorithm can distribute them among all races as it deems necessary to achieve the goals it wants. (Case Study: Estonia)."

Do you agree with Ms. Maras, that anyone with access to the tabulation system through a trapdoor can take all the votes tabulated and give them to anyone they want?
A. I don't know that.
Q. Paragraph 74. "Observing the elections, after a review of Michigan's data a spike of 54,199 votes to Biden. Because it is pushing and pulling and keeping a short distance between the 2 candidates; but then a spike, which is how an algorithm presents; - and this spike means there was a pause and an insert was made, where they insert an algorithm. Block spikes in votes for Joe Biden were not paper ballots being fed or thumb drives. The algorithm block adjusted itself and the people were creating the evidence to back up the block allocation."

Do you agree with Ms. Maras, that large injections of votes, including large injections after Election Day, would indicate algorithm changes to achieve pre-determined results?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. Do you agree with Ms. Maras, that the algorithm kicks in independently, while the people are creating ballots to back up the block allocation?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever been informed of the need to create, generate, or receive fake cast ballots for any election?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever participated in any manner in the tabulation of ballots to back up a block allocation resulting from algorithmic changes to the outcome of any race in any election?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. Paragraph 77. "The algorithm looks to have been set to give Joe Biden a 52 percent win even with an initial 50k plus vote block allocation was provided initially as tallying began (as in case of Arizona too). In the am of November 4, 2020 the algorithm stopped working, therefore another block allocation to remedy the failure of the algorithm. This was done manually as all the systems shut down nationwide to avoid detection."

Did King County Elections experience any election system problems of any nature on November 3, 2020?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Did King County Elections experience any election system problems of any nature on November 4, 2020?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Was there ever a time during the 2020 general election that any component of King County Elections system was shut down?
A. Not that I recall.
(Exhibit No. 4 marked.)
Q. (By The Court Reporter) Exhibit 4 is being marked.

Paragraph 4. "As further discussed herein, WEICU has evidence in the form of thousands of screen shots of official electronic tallies recorded and electronically reported and captured in real time that exactly 6,614 votes were flipped, over 37,000 votes were moved around on ten separate events, and/or thousands of votes were removed in one or more state-wide races before, during, and/or after the election?"

Do you have any information as to how official electronic tallies recorded and electronically reported in real time could show thousands of votes being flipped or moved around between candidates before, during, or after November 3, 2020?
A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question, please?
Q. Do you have information as to how official electronic tallies recorded and electronically reported in real time could show thousands of votes being flipped or moved around between candidates before, during, or after November 3, 2020?
A. They weren't.
Q. Paragraph 8. "Based on NEP election data for the federal election analyzed by WEICU, a total of ten (10) vote-flipping events were documented in the presidential race, alone, that transferred a total of 37,039 votes (votes moved around in a manner akin to a shell game to fill in where needed)."

Do you agree with Ms. Borrelli that, in
tracking voting results, there should never legitimately be a negative vote count or reduction in votes allocated to a particular candidate?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. Paragraph 10. "Other vote tally anomalies were found in the 2020 governor's race. As shown below, at 9:36:43 in the evening, November 3, 2020, the total ballots cast in the governor's race, according to King 5 news feed (based on country data) was 5,361,920. However, the total number of registered voters in
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Washington State at that time was $4,887,536$, meaning the official results feed for the governor's race showed 474,384 more ballots cast than registered voters in the entire state. To add insult to injury, forty-five minutes later, at 10:13:43 in the evening, the total ballots cast in the governor's race fell by a whopping 2,059,288 votes cast, to $3,302,632 . "$

Do you have an information as to how official results for the 2020 gubernatorial race could show 474,384 more ballots cast than registered voters in the entire state?
A. It's not official results. It's a media outlet posting results. That's not official results.
Q. Do you have an information as to how official results for the 2020 gubernatorial race could plummet from a total ballots cast of $5,361,920$ down to $3,302,632$ within 45 minutes?
A. I think you probably should ask King 5 how they get their data. This isn't official data that was on the Secretary of State's site or King County Elections website, nor was it certified results of the election.

THE COURT REPORTER: That concludes my
questions. Thank you.
MR. HACKETT: Thank you.

And does anybody have any more questions?
MS. SHOGREN: Are there any other parties
who would like to ask questions at this time?
MR. HACKETT: King County has no questions.
MR. HYATT: The intervenors have no
questions.
MS. SHOGREN: Okay. Then I will let the court reporter do her thing, and we'll conclude this deposition.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And before we go off the record, the court reporter will take orders for the transcript.

MR. HACKETT: All right. And one other matter $I$ want to cover before we go off the record. So I spoke with Mr. Basler. He would prefer to continue to receive service by mail.

And you are going to provide me with an address to make sure that we don't have an issue there.

MR. BASLER: Do you want me to say it on the record?

MR. HACKETT: That would be handy. Sure.
MR. BASLER: Sure. It's 1851 Central Place South, Kent, Washington 98030, Suite 123.

MR. HACKETT: Just to make sure I have got that, 1851 Central Place South, Kent, Washington 98030,
Suite 123.

MR. BASLER: That is correct.
MR. HACKETT: Okay.
And then I also spoke with Mr. Samoylenko, and he is in agreement with counsel for King County and the intervenors that we will handle service by email. And I believe we're in email contact.

And he has raised his thumb, indicating we
are.

MR. SAMOYLENKO: Yes. Sorry.
THE COURT REPORTER: Ms. Wise, would you
like to reserve or waive signature for the transcript.

MR. HACKETT: We will reserve signature.
THE COURT REPORTER: And, Ms. Shogren, do
you want to order a copy of the transcript?

MS. SHOGREN: Yes, please.
THE COURT REPORTER: And would you also like to order a copy?

MR. HACKETT: Yes, please.
MS. SHOGREN: Expedited, if possible.
THE COURT REPORTER: And would you like yours expedited?

MR. HACKETT: No.
MR. HYATT: Intervenors will order but not expedited. Thanks.



